# PASS-THROUGH ENTITY TAXATION IN CONNECTICUT

#### For the Connecticut State Tax Panel

#### October 13, 2015

Patrick J. Duffany, J.D., C.P.A. CohnReznick LLP 350 Church Street Hartford, CT 06103 Tel. no. (959) 200-7270 Email: patrick.duffany@cohnreznick.com Alan E. Lieberman, Esq. Shipman & Goodwin LLP One Constitution Plaza Hartford, CT 06103 Tel. no. (860) 251-5801 Email: alieberman@goodwin.com Anthony Switajewski, C.P.A. Blum, Shapiro & Company, P.C. 29 South Main Street P.O. Box 272000 West Hartford, CT 06127 Tel. no. (860) 561-6810 Email: tswitajewski@blumshapiro.com

### Guiding Principles for Reform to Encourage Competitiveness

- Most Businesses Operate As a Pass-Through Entity
- Need to Eliminate Connecticut Anti-Competitive Tax Laws/Do Not Penalize Businesses for Being Based in Connecticut
- Need for Consistency in State Tax Treatment of Businesses Regardless of Legal Form Regarding Apportionment, Income Sourcing and Tax Credit Availability
- Predictability and Ease of Compliance

3

# **Types of Pass-Through Entities**

- Limited Liability Companies (Single Member vs. Multiple Members)
- Partnerships, Limited Partnerships and Limited Liability Partnerships
- Subchapter S Corporations
- Disregarded Entities (Single Member LLCs, Qualified Subchapter S Subsidiaries)

#### Formation of Pass-Through Entities; Office of the Secretary of State

Year	Stock Corporations	LPs	LLPs	LLCs
2014	3165	228	69	22,950
2013	3413	167	88	22,506
2012	3317	190	75	22,824

<u>N.B.</u> "Stock corporations" include both Subchapter C corporations (taxable entities) and Subchapter S corporations (pass-through entities)

5

Most Common Attributes of Pass-Through Entities

- Small- and Medium-Sized Businesses (Often Owned by Individuals and Trusts)
- Corporate Joint Ventures
- Require Outsourced Services (Third Party Business Inputs)
- No or Limited In-House Tax/Compliance Services/Business Management Services

#### **Federal and State Tax Treatment**

6

- Federal Income Tax Treatment
  - > No Federal Income Tax at Entity Level (Unless Check-the-Box Election Made)
    - Information Return: IRS Forms 1065 or 1120-S/Schedule C
  - > Items of Income, Gain, Loss, Deduction and Credit Flow Through to Owners
  - > Distributive Share of Income or Loss and of Certain Separately Stated Items

#### **Federal and State Tax Treatment**

- State Income Tax Treatment (Generally Follows Federal Income Tax Treatment)
  - Composite Tax Return Obligation (Form CT-1065/1120SI)
  - Foreign (non-Connecticut) Owner Withholding
- Other State Taxes (Separate Entity Treatment)
  - Sales and Use Tax
  - Conveyance Tax/Controlling Interest Transfer Tax
  - <u>N.B.</u> Conn. Gen. Stat. §34-113: LLC Taxation In Accordance With Federal Tax Classification (but see DRS Special Notice 99(3))

### Connecticut Taxes Paid by Pass-Through Entities and Their Owners

- Personal Income Tax
  - State Composite Tax Return/Withholding
- Business Entity Tax
- Sales and Use Tax
- Local Property Tax
- Unemployment Insurance Tax

#### Anti-Competitive Connecticut Income Tax Issues for Pass-Through Entities

#### • Penalty Apportionment and Sourcing Rules

- Favoring Out-of-State Businesses/Need for Market Sourcing
- Lack of Consistency with Tax Treatment of Corporations
- Limited Availability of Credits
- History of Retroactive Tax Law Changes

Anti-Competitive Connecticut Income Tax Issues for Pass-Through Entities (cont'd)

- Business Tax Task Force Report (dated September 27, 2012), Chairs: Commissioners Smith and Sullivan
  - Connecticut differentially & inequitably taxes similar enterprises solely on the basis of chosen forms of doing business . . . ."
  - Consistent application of law, avoidance of retroactive changes, & reliable guidance are positive attributes of fair, effective & efficient business taxes."
  - **Recommendation:** "Standardize apportionment, factor weighting & sourcing."
  - Recommendation: "Phase out taxation of business-to-business computer & data processing services, analysis, management & management consulting services."

#### Penalty Apportionment/Sourcing Rules

11

#### • Scenario 1(a)

- Connecticut-based pass-through entity (partnership, limited liability company or Subchapter S corporation) ("PE") sells services
- All owners of PE are individuals
- > PE's only office is in Connecticut
- > PE has taxable nexus in Massachusetts
- > All of PE's customers are located in Massachusetts
- **Result**:
  - \* PE sources 100% of income to Connecticut
  - PE sources 100% of income to Massachusetts

#### • Scenario 1(b)

- Massachusetts-based PE sells services
- > All owners of PE are individuals
- PE's only office is in Massachusetts
- > PE has taxable nexus in Connecticut
- > All of PE's customers are located in Connecticut
- **Result**:
  - \* PE sources 0% of income to Connecticut
  - \* PE sources 0% of income to Massachusetts

#### Penalty Apportionment/Sourcing Rules (cont'd)

12

#### • Scenario 2

- > Pass-through entity ("PE") is a manufacturer
- > PE's owners are:
  - > Individual ("I") who is a resident of Massachusetts
  - > Corporation ("C") who is based in Massachusetts
- All of PE's manufacturing facilities are located in CT; PE's sales office is located in MA; 80% of PE's payroll is located in Connecticut
- All of PE's customers are located in Massachusetts
- Result:
  - > I sources 60% of its flow-through income from PE to Connecticut
  - > C sources 0% of its flow-through income from PE to Connecticut

#### Limited Availability of Credits

There are approximately 25 Connecticut tax credits for which Subchapter C corporations can qualify, but pass-through entities and their owners cannot, including those tax credits for:

- Fixed Capital Investments (Conn. Gen. Stat. §§12-217o, 12-217w, 12-217mm)
- Employee Investments (Conn. Gen. Stat. §§12-217g, 12-217x)
- Research and Development Activities (Conn. Gen. Stat. §§12-217j, 12-217n)
- Enterprise Zone Investments (Conn. Gen. Stat. §12-217v)
- Neighborhood/Charitable Assistance (Chapter 228a)
- <u>N.B.</u> Restriction on the eligibility of a corporate partner for tax credits (<u>Bell Atlantic NYNEX Mobile, Inc. v.</u> <u>Commissioner</u>, 273 Conn. 240 (2005)/OLR Research Report 2006-R-0206). <u>Contra</u> Conn. Gen. Stat. §§12-217gg (corporate partner in employment expansion project eligible for credit).

#### **Penalty Credit Provisions**

- Scenario 4(a)
  - > Corporation ("C1") and Individual ("I") wish to form a joint venture to manufacture in Connecticut
    - > C1 is based in Connecticut
    - > I is a Connecticut resident
  - They form partnership ("P") to undertake the manufacturing and engage in research and development (R&D)
  - > If P were subject to the Connecticut Corporation Business Tax, it would be entitled to a \$100 R&D credit
  - Result:
    - > P is not entitled to claim the R&D tax credit (\$0)
    - > C1 is not entitled to claim the R&D tax credit (\$0)
    - > I is not entitled to claim the R&D tax credit (\$0)
- Scenario 4(b)
  - > Corporation ("C1") and Individual ("I") wish to form a joint venture to manufacture in Connecticut
    - > C1 is based in Connecticut
    - > I is a Connecticut resident
  - They form corporation ("CORP") to undertake the manufacturing and engage in R&D
  - > CORP is subject to the Connecticut Corporation Business Tax and is entitled to a \$100 R&D credit
  - **Result**:
    - > CORP is entitled to claim the R&D credit (\$100)
    - > C1 is not entitled to claim the R&D tax credit (\$0)
    - > I is not entitled to claim the R&D tax credit (\$0)

#### **Other Anti-Competitive Connecticut Tax Issues**

15

#### Sales and Use Tax on Business Inputs

- Business Analysis and Management/Consulting Services
- Computer and Data Processing
- Personnel and Training Services
- Sales and Use Tax Complexity
- Property Tax Compliance in Multiple Towns/Multiple Rules
- Disregarded/Regarded Entities

#### **Tax Policy Principles**

16

- Revenue Stability and Sufficiency
- Balanced Revenue Sources
- Structural Stability
- Predictability
- Ease of Compliance
- Fair, Efficient and Cost-Effective Administration
- Consistent Application of Law and Timely Guidance
- Reflect the Global and Local Marketplace

#### **Tax Policy Takeaways**

- Eliminate Anti-Competitive Tax Rules/Do Not Penalize Connecticut-Based Businesses
  - Need for Market-Based Sourcing Rules
  - General Availability of Credits
  - Eliminate Sales and Use Taxes on Business Inputs
- Consistency in Application to All Businesses Regardless of Form of Tax Laws Regarding Apportionment, Sourcing and Tax Credit Availability
- Minimize Complexity